SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JUNE 27, 2006 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA AMENDED

S142176

IBANEZ (JULIO CESAR) ON H.C.

Petition ordered withdrawn. Pursuant to written request of petitioner the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

S050583

PEOPLE v. HOWARD (DEMETRIUS)

Extension of time granted to August 25, 2006, to file the appellant's reply brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Kate Johnston's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by December 2006.

S070536

PEOPLE v. MACIEL (LUIS)

Extension of time granted to August 23, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Melissa Hill's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by December 15, 2006.

S076340

PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (LAM T.)

Extension of time granted to August 29, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief.

S093803

PEOPLE v. SEUMANU (ROPATI)

Extension of time granted to August 28, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief.

S134962

HART (JOSEPH) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted to August 28, 2006, to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Amanda R. Touchton's representation that she anticipates filing that document by August 26, 2006.

S139791 H027519 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CROSS (GARY W.)

Extension of time granted. Respondent's time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to August 6, 2006.

SAN FRANCISCO JUNE 27, 2006 1063.2

S141541 G032301 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 CRAWFORD (KIRK) et al v. WEATHER SHIELD MFG., INC.

Extension of time granted. Petitioner's time to serve and file the opening brief on the merit is extended to July 23, 2006.

S141716

HERNANDEZ (JESUS) ON H.C.

Order filed. The order filed on June 22, 2006, is amended to read, in its entirety: "On application of respondent, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to August 22, 2006. No further extensions of time are contemplated."

S142774

GUITTARD ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed. It is ordered that ELIZABETH A. GUITTARD [aka ELIZABETH A. BARRANCO, State Bar No. 115421, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until she has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4 (c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for four years, including restitution, on condition that she be actually suspended for one year. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 9, 2006, as modified by its order filed on March 1, 2006. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she must remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4 (c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of her actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn.8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and one-fourth of said costs be paid with membership fees for the years 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010. It is further ordered that if Elizabeth A. Guittard fails to pay any installment within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S142775

WEINTRAUB ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: Disbarred.

It is hereby ordered that DAVID B. WEINTRAUB, State Bar No. 152571, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

1063.3 SAN FRANCISCO JUNE 27, 2006

S142778

WINROW ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed. It is ordered that WAYNE WINROW, State Bar No. 153632, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including actual suspension of 120 days and until he makes restitution to Frances Milton in the amount of \$5,000 plus 10 percent interest per annum from May 8, 2002 (or to the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Frances Milton, plus interest and costs, in accordance with Business and Professions Code § 6140.5), and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar's Office of Probation as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed December 9, 2005, as modified by its order filed December 15, 2005. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he must remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Any restitution to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivision (c) and (d). It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. *(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S142779

SCHUCHMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed. It is ordered that ALAN SCHUCHMAN, State Bar No. 82722, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its opinion filed on February 9, 2006. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business & Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

B187043

PEOPLE v. ORTIZ (MARVIN RICARDO)

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to July 29, 2006. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28.2(c).)